



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/471,490	12/23/1999	Ravi Ganesan	3350-19E	7474		
7590 04/08/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
AFRED A STADNICKI			NGUYEN, MI	NGUYEN, MINH DIEU T		
LALOS & KEEGAN 1146 NINETEENTH STREET N W			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
FIFTH FLOOR			2137	0		
WASHINGTON, DC 200063404			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	, 8		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					144		
:		Application I		Applicant(s)	<b>,</b>		
Office Action Summary		09/471,490		GANESAN ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Minh Dieu Ngu	•	2137			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	er sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress		
THE External after af	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how within the statutory m will apply and will expir cause the application	wever, may a reply be tim inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mmunication.		
Status							
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) 1-38 and 43-49 is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 39,40 and 42 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 41 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	tion Papers			• •			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) odrawing(s) be heltion is required if the	ld in abeyance. See the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been red s have been red rity documents u (PCT Rule 17	ceived. ceived in Applicati have been receive .2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage		
2) Noti	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Dail Notice of Informal Factories Other:		D-152)		

### Response to Amendment

- 1. The remarks have been carefully considered with the following effect:
  - a) Claims 1-38 and 43-49 are allowable.
  - b) Claims 39, 40 and 42 are rejected.
  - c) Claim 41 is objected.

## Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claim 41 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 09/471,490

Art Unit: 2137

4. Claims 39-40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated

by Powar, (6,285,991).

a) As to claim 39, Powar discloses in practice sender encrypts the message,

which reads on a first component, with the session key used in a symmetric encryption

algorithm; encrypts the session key, which reads on a second component, with the

recipients public key and sends both to the recipient (col. 4, lines 11-19).

b) As to claim 40, Powar discloses the session key is the symmetric crypto

key associated with a first entity, which reads on a sender (col. 4, lines 15-16), and the

recipients public key is the non-symmetric crypto key associated with a second entity,

i.e. a recipient (col. 4,lines 18-19).

c) As to claim 42, Powar discloses the non symmetric crypto key is a private

crypto key of a joint private public crypto key pair associated with the second entity, as

also well known in the cryptography community (col. 3, lines 39-45).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed January 15, 2004 have been fully considered but they

are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/471,490

Art Unit: 2137

Page 4

"Regarding independent claim 39, examiner does not specifically address any limitations of the claim."

Examiner adds and maintains that:

Powar discloses in practice sender encrypts the message, which reads on a first component, with the session key used in a symmetric encryption algorithm; encrypts the session key, which reads on a second component, with the recipients public key and sends both to the recipient (col. 4, lines 11-19).

Powar discloses the session key is the symmetric crypto key associated with a first entity, which reads on a sender (col. 4, lines 15-16), and the recipients public key is the non-symmetric crypto key associated with a second entity, i.e. a recipient (col. 4, lines 18-19).

Powar discloses the non symmetric crypto key is a private crypto key of a joint private public crypto key pair associated with the second entity, as also well known in the cryptography community (col. 3, lines 39-45).

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - a) System and Method for Providing Trusted Brokering Services Over A Distributed Network, Teper et al., 5,815,665.

Page 5

b) System, Method and Article of Manufacture For Secure Network Electronic Payment and Credit Collection, Rowney et al., 5,987,140.

c) Cryptography and Network Security, Principles and Practice, William Stallings, 1998, Prentice-Hall Inc., second edition, pages 359-360.

d) Hush Communications, Hush Encryption Engine White Paper, version 2.0, July 2001.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dieu Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-9727. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00-2:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

nor

Minh Dieu Nguyen Examiner

Art Unit 2137

mdn 4/1/04

> GREGORY MORSE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100